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Good afternoon Committee members. Thank you for this opportunity to testify. I have 15 years of experience as an intervenor and participant at the Colorado PUC\(^1\) as well as extensive experience in local, state and national energy policy. I work with many different groups, but today I will testify as an individual.

I am grateful for the hard work and dedication of Representative Hansen and of the bill drafter and I am also strongly in support of the goals of HB19-1037—accelerating the retirement of Colorado’s stranded fossil fuel (e.g. coal and natural gas) plants and supporting transition assistance for fossil fuel dependent workers and communities—but I am opposed to HB19-1037 as currently written.

**Colorado will be best served by tabling this bill and allowing time over the summer to design a policy that is equitable, direct and effective.**

**HB19-1037 Is Not Equitable**

- Utility customers should not have to bare the full responsibility for Xcel’s mistaken investments in coal plants over the last 15 years.

---

\(^1\) Colorado PUC Dockets that I have been a full intervenor in include:

05A-072E Xcel Camelback–Daniels Park Transmission 
07A-107E/07A-199E Xcel 2013 Contingency Plan/Tri-State Gas Contracts 
07A-423E Xcel Pawnee Smoky Hill Transmission 
07A-521E Xcel Interruptible Service Option Credit 
07A-447E Xcel 2007 Resource Plan 
07A-469E Xcel Fort St. Vrain Turbines 
08E-520E Xcel 2009 Rate Increase 
09A-299E Xcel 2010 Rate Increase 
09A-772E Xcel 2010 Renewable Energy Compliance Plan and Windsource 
10A-124E Xcel Smart Grid CPCN 
10A-377E Xcel Amendment to 2007 Resource Plan 
10A-245E Xcel Clean Air Clean Jobs Plan 
11A-135E Xcel Solar Rebate Program Restart 
11A-325E Xcel Pawnee Emissions Control CPCN 
11A-869E Xcel 2011 Resource Plan 
11A-917E Xcel Hayden Emissions Control CPCN 
11A-1003E Xcel Smart Grid City Cost Recovery
• Xcel had over $551 million in after tax profits from Colorado in 2018; they can afford to bear some accountability for the mistaken investments they have made.

**HB19-1037 is Not Likely to Be Effective**

• Since HB19-1037 leaves the timing of action taken under the Bill to the "sole discretion" of the electric utility (see page 14, lines 21-22) it is not likely to lead to the acceleration of coal plant retirements as it is intended to do.

40-41-104. Financing orders - application requirements.
1) An electric utility, in its sole discretion, may apply to the commission for a financing order as authorized by this section.

If a utility doesn’t use the “securitization tool,” then coal workers and their communities won’t be receiving assistance under HB19-1037 and Colorado coal country needs transition support now—not many years from now.

**HB19-1037 is Not Direct**

• The legislature could achieve the goals of HB19-1037 in a much more direct and effective way by passing one or more laws that:
  o Direct the PUC to reduce reliance on fossil fuels for electric generation
  o Reduces the "Return on Equity" for fossil fuel plants
  o Provides assistance for coal-dependent workers and their communities

**While HB19-1037 is often described as a “tool,” this “tool” is not likely to work. It is like being handed a wrench when what you need is a screwdriver!**

Groups that support this bill likely support the goals of the bill without understanding how the bill will work—if it is ever used.

**Please table the bill and take the summer of 2019 to develop a bill that will achieve the goals of HB19-1037 in a much more direct, effective and equitable way.**
Ratepayer Savings Using CO-EIA Bonds

- When Public Service Company closes a power plant, there may be remaining costs that have not been recovered in rates.

- There are two ways of financing those remaining costs: 1) utility financing and 2) lower-cost ratepayer backed bonds (securitization).
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- HB 19-1037 authorizes the use of ratepayer-backed bonds (RBBs).

- The savings from RBBs are so large that some of the savings can be re-directed to transition assistance for affected workers and communities.

- Let’s look at an example. Assume $565 million is financed. Financing by utility costs: 7.6%; by consumer bonds costs: 3.1%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>First Year Rate Impact ($ millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PSCo finances plant closure</td>
<td>Increases rates by $32m (1.2% increase)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSCo uses CO-EIA bonds to finance plant closure*</td>
<td>Decrease rates by $38m (1.4% decrease)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This approach also produces $36 million in transition assistance!
• If PSCo replaces the closed plant with wind energy, the savings are even larger.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenarios</th>
<th>First Year Rate Impact ($ millions)</th>
<th>Net Cost Reduction from Replacement with Wind (¢/kWh)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PSCo finances closure and builds new wind</td>
<td>Increase rates by $13m (0.4% increase)</td>
<td>Decrease by 0.7¢/kWh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSCo uses CO-EIA bonds and builds new wind*</td>
<td>Decrease rates by $56m (2% decrease)</td>
<td>Decrease by 1.6¢/kWh</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This approach produces $36 million in transition assistance.

Here’s how the parts fit together:

Summary – Consumer Benefits

• HB19-1037 authorizes utilities to use Ratepayer-Backed Bonds (RBBs), like 20 other states.
• RBBs can save hundreds of millions of dollars when power plants are retired.
• If the closed plant is replaced with wind energy, RBBs will save consumers 1.6 cents per kwh for the energy from that plant.
• The savings are so large that a portion of the savings can be re-directed to transition assistance. $600 million in refinancing can produce $36 million in transition assistance.
REPLACEMENT MAXIMUM.

(3) For any application filed as authorized by subsection (1) of this section, the electric utility, subject to commission approval, shall use a competitive acquisition process to acquire cost-effective resources, regardless of ownership of such resources, for all acquisition of generation or energy storage resources to replace electric generating facilities that were retired in conjunction with a financing order.

(4) For purposes of this section:

(a) "Utility-owned replacement maximum" means the value of replacement generation resources with total capacity that is equal to the nameplate capacity of the electric generating facility being retired.

(b) "Utility-owned replacement minimum" means the value of electric utility investments in existing or new electric generation capacity or energy storage facilities that is the lesser of:

(I) The value of the proceeds of the associated CO-EIA bonds; or

(II) The undepreciated accounting value at the time of retirement of the electric generating facilities retired pursuant to this article 41.

PART 2

COLORADO ENERGY IMPACT ASSISTANCE AUTHORITY

40-41-201. Colorado energy impact assistance authority - creation - board - general powers and duties. (1) The Colorado energy impact assistance authority is hereby created. The